

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILI       | NG DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/209,706                  | 12/11/1998 |            | EDWARD F. TOKAS      | IR-2588(ET)             | 6621            |
| 7                           | 590        | 07/30/2002 |                      |                         |                 |
| WAYNE W I                   |            | 1          | EXAMINER             |                         |                 |
| 111 LORD DR<br>P O BOX 8012 |            |            | KNABLE, GEOFFREY L   |                         |                 |
| CARY, NC 275128012          |            |            |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|                             |            |            |                      | 1733                    | 2/              |
|                             |            |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002 | - /             |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | A  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 09/209,706  | TOKAS ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Geoffrey L. Knable  | 1733   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply   | appears on the cov r sheet wi   | th the correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- tute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1  | 5 May 2002 .  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐   | This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53,54,56,57,59-83,93,94,96 and 9</u>  | 8-143 is/are pending in the a   | pplication.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 53,54,56,57,59-83,93,94,96 and 98   | 8-143 are subject to restriction  | n and/or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami   | ner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc  | cepted or b) objected to by the   | ne Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to   | the drawing(s) be held in abeya   | ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is: a)□ approved b)□ di   | sapproved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in   | reply to this Office action.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §  | 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |   | ·  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>   | ents have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume  | ents have been received in Ap   | oplication No  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International It</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a little</li> </ul>  | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome  | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language parts) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome  | provisional application has be  | een received.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Ir   | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/209,706

Art Unit: 1733

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application as amended now contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I: applying/providing the catalyst at the substrate surface preliminary to the coating operation;

II: applying (i.e. spraying) the catalyst and metathesizable material simultaneously.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it is apparent that the intent is that at least claims 99 and 104 are generic<sup>1</sup> (particularly in light of the presence of dependent claims 103 and 143).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is noted however that a 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection on this point may be necessitated in subsequent office actions as it is not entirely clear that these claims can reasonably be so read.

Application/Control Number: 09/209,706

Art Unit: 1733

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. <u>Note</u>: Applicant is advised that in the May 15, 2002 amendment, the amendments to claims 54, 56, 58, 59, 60, 65, 71, 75, 79, 80, 81, 82 and 83 have *not* been entered as neither a clean copy nor a marked-up copy thereof were provided (note also that claim 58 is a canceled claim).
- 3. <u>Note</u>: The papers filed on May 15, 2002 have not been made part of the permanent records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) for this application (37 CFR 1.52(a)) because of damage from the United States Postal Service irradiation process. The above-identified papers, however, were not so damaged as to preclude the USPTO from making a legible copy of such papers. Therefore, the Office has made a copy of these papers, substituted them for the originals in the file, and stamped that copy:

## COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

If applicant wants to review the accuracy of the Office's copy of such papers, applicant may either inspect the application (37 CFR 1.14(d)) or may request a copy of the Office's records of such papers (i.e., a copy of the copy made by the Office) from the Office of Public Records for the fee specified in 37 CFR 1.19(b)(4). Please do **not** call the Technology Center's Customer Service Center to inquiry about the completeness or

Application/Control Number: 09/209,706

Art Unit: 1733

accuracy of Office's copy of the above-identified papers, as the Technology Center's Customer Service Center will **not** be able to provide this service.

If applicant does not consider the Office's copy of such papers to be accurate, applicant must provide a copy of the above-identified papers (except for any U.S. or foreign patent documents submitted with the above-identified papers) with a statement that such copy is a complete and accurate copy of the originally submitted documents. If applicant provides such a copy of the above-identified papers and statement within **THREE MONTHS** of the mail date of this Office action, the Office will add the original mailroom date and use the copy provided by applicant as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers in place of the copy made by the Office. Otherwise, the Office's copy will be used as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers (*i.e.*, the Office will use the copy of the above-identified papers made by the Office for examination and all other purposes). This three-month period is not extendable.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey L. Knable whose telephone number is 703-308-2062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael W. Ball can be reached on 703-308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Geoffrey L. Knable Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

G. Knable July 29, 2002